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What are we Looking at - Objects or Subjects?
The First Dilemma
Abilities/perception push for agency

✓ Control of bahavior; rational decision-making (Dennett and Nozick; Matthias)

✓ Second stage wishes (Erhardt and Mona, Matthias)

✓ Iteractivity, autonomy and adaptability (Floridi and Sanders)

✓ Ability to communicate; joys and sorrows (Erhardt and Mona; Teubner)
The First Dilemma
... but where would agency leave us humans?
The Second Dilemma
‘Responsibility gaps’ push for E-Personality...

• ‘Autonomy risk’
  ➢ Conceptual and practical issues with contract formation, torts

• European Parliament, 2017:
  ➢ EU-wide new civil law rules on “robots, bots, androids and other manifestations of artificial intelligence”
  ➢ Legal status of electronic persons to fill ‘responsibility gaps’
The Second Dilemma
... but legal personality is a slippery slope

• ‘Humanization trap’: Corporate entities warning example

• Justification needed: Why should autonomous agents should not enjoy the same rights as other persons?
A ‘In-Between Status’ Made in Germany

Introducing Teilrechtsfähigkeit

- ‘In-between status’ needed, halfway between person and object
- German law offers exactly that: Teilrechtsfähigkeit – partial legal capacity
- An entity is recognized as a legal subject, yet the range of its subjectivity is limited by its specific function (e.g. unborn child, pre-company)
- Bottom-up instead of top-down
  Form follows function
A ‘In-Between Status’ Made in Germany

Introducing *Teilrechtsfähigkeit*

- Autonomous agents do not (yet) act in their own interest. Their job is to provide support for both natural and legal persons.

- They should be treated as legal subjects *insofar* as this status reflects their function as *sophisticated servants (e.g. contractual agents)*.

- With *Teilrechtsfähigkeit* we can have it both ways! Solving the doctrinal and practical problems without the slippery slope.
Of Mice and Machines
Implementing a ‘reversed animal rule’

• Historically, courts have been very reluctant when it came to acknowledging legal subjectivity for non-human actors

• Slight push needed: Implementing a ‘reversed sec. 90a BGB’:

Autonomous agents are not persons. Consistent with their serving function, they are governed by the provisions that apply to agents, with the necessary modifications, except insofar as otherwise provided
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